Undergraduate DepartmentCollege of Business

Program Improvement Plans | 2022

<u>Program</u>	<u>Page</u>
Accounting (BS)	2
Business Administration (BS)	5
Business Analytics (BS)	8
Finance (BS)	11
Financial Management (BS)	13
Human Resource Management (BS)	16
International Business (BS)	18
International Business and Ministry (BS)	20
Management (BS)	22
Marketing (BS)	24

Accounting (BS) Program Improvement Planning				
Department Chair Program Team Members				
Dr. Bill Crawford	Dr. Doris Feltham Mr. Terry Unruh			

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?				This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline				
1	Consider having more descriptive numberings for the program outcomes and for the artifacts and using those labels in the cross-listing table without repeating the descriptions. E.g, BUS-1 for Business outcome 1, and ACT-WPA-1 for that artifact.	Yes			Assessment Coordinator				
2	Artifacts need to be numbered or lettered in all charts for ease of reference.		No	n/a we did not create the document					

3			
4			
5			

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1	Require senior accounting majors to make a C or better in Financial Management	Dr. Jonathan Wiley	Fall 2022
2			
3			

Business Administration (BS) Program Improvement Planning Department Chair Program Team Members Dr. Julie Huntley Dr. Ardith Baker

	# Formative Feedback Recommendations		Ve Do	If No, please provide	Person
#			is?	your rationale	Responsible &
		Yes	No		Timeline
	Would recommend that all of the graduating seniors be	Yes		In fact, Dr. Huntley does	Assessment
	interviewed in small groups and ask them their			do this type of Focus	Coordinator
1	recommendations for the program that they are graduating			group with a selection of all COB students along	Currently done.
	from.			with EBI exit interview.	
2	More emphasis on future goals.		No	Not required by our	
				assessment body.	
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Business Analytics (BS) Program Improvement Planning				
Department Chair	Program Team Members			
Dr. Julie Huntley	Dr. Ardith Baker			

	# Formative Feedback Recommendations		Ve Do	If No. places provide	Person
#			is?	If No, please provide	Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
	The IV Artifact and Program Outcome Alignment was	Yes		We will re-evalute the	Assessment
	difficult to read and follow. In the IV document consider			table but it is consistent	Coordinator
	having Program Outcome in the first column and the			with the other programs	
1	Artifact description in the second column. That may help in			in the COB.	
1	Outcome – Artifact alignment clarity. If there is a University			Please refer to the COB	
	Artifact and Program Outcome format, please follow that.			assessment website for	
				more detailed	
				information.	
	The record of data analysis and program changes were clear	Yes		We will re-evaluate	Assessment
	 is there a way to make them a bit more robust. 			these sections and will	Coordinator
2				make them more robust	Next update.
				when there is more	
				data.	

3			
4			
5			

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Finance (BS) Program Improvement Planning			
Department Chair	Program Team Members		
Dr. Bill Crawford	Dr. Rebecca Attah and Dr. Jonathan Wiley		

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
1	No recommendations were made!				
2					
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Financial Management (BS) Program Improvement Planning Department Chair Program Team Members Dr. Rebecca Attah and Dr. Jonathan Wiley Dr. Bill Crawford

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	will We De mative Feedback Recommendations This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
			No	your rationale	Timeline
1	Address the assessment of outcome #4, collaboration		No	No teamwork outcome in online programs – the teamwork outcome should not have been included	N/A
2	Use consistent language in describing/labelling artifacts	Yes		We will work on making this consistent – inaccurate report was generated	Assessment co- ordinator
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Human Resource Management (BS) Program Improvement Planning Department Chair Program Team Members

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
1	More details to come on specific changes needed in the	Х			Assessment
	program.				Coordinator
2					
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

| International Business (BS) Program Improvement Planning | Department Chair | Program Team Members | Bill Crawford | Jim Russell |

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations		Ve Do is?	If No, please provide your rationale	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No		Timeline
1	Address area with yellow and red status. Tutorials and assistance with APA format more than just Grammarly.	х		APA Business Guide being created; Writing Center partnership in works	Jane Malcolm (Business Guide) & Meg Weinkauf; Spring 2022
2					
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

International Business and Ministry (BS) Program Improvement Planning Department Chair Program Team Members Dr. Jim Russell Dr. Bill Crawford

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
	Clarify whether residential and online outcomes and		х		N/A
1	artifacts are conflated or distinct from one another.			criteria and artifacts for online and residential is on the COB web site.	
2					
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Management (BS) Program Improvement Planning			
Department Chair	Program Team Members		
Bill Crawford	Marshal Wright and Meg Weinkauf		

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations		Ve Do is?	If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
1	Need labeling of program outcomes so they are referred to in the analysis section.	Х			Assessment Coordinator
2	Could analysis be placed with the charts to make better referential system. Finding the analysis was challenging.	Х			Assessment Coordinator
3					
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			

Marketing (BS) Program Improvement Planning				
Department Chair	Program Team Members			
Bill Crawford	Rebecca Gunn			

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?		If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline
	It might be a good idea to include the goals and		Х	Artifacts are already	
1	measurement tools in the same plan process for easy reference.			included; already included in other documents	
2	Perhaps as a future reference it will be beneficial to list faculty involved in the improvement plan	Х			Assessment Coordinator; Spring 2022
3	Insert page numbers for all document pages.		Х	n/a - we did not create the document	
4					
5					

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1			
2			
3			