

# **Graduate School College of Education**

### **Program Improvement Plans | 2022**

<u>Program</u>	<u>Page</u>
Educational Leadership (Ed.D.)	2
Educational Leadership (Ed.S.)	4
Teaching and Educational Leadership (M.Ed.)	7



Education Leadership (Ed.D.) Program Improvement Planning					
Department Chair	Program Team Members				
Patrick Otto	JimMyers Gweth Holzmann Mary Lou Miller Hallett Hullinger				

#### Please list the recommendations made by the Formative Feedback Team and provide comments.

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?				This?	If No. please provide		Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline				
1	No recommendations required.								
2									
3									
4									
5									



Please list any recommendations that your Department/School decides to make in addition to those made by the Formative Feedback Team.

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1	From Formative Feeback: No recommendations for the program, but greater clarity in reporting of alignment of all these various standards and criterion.  The GC will review APAS and provide additional explanation about APAS.		Spring
2	The Formative Feedback team requested external review information. We will provide this from our CAEP report.	GC	Spring
3			



Education Leadership (Ed.S.) Program Improvement Planning						
Department Chair	Program Team Members					
Patrick Otto	JimMyers Gweth Holzmann Mary Lou Miller Hallett Hullinger					

### Please list the recommendations made by the Formative Feedback Team and provide comments.

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?																If No, please provide	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline														
1	Provide a description for all artifacts.		Х	They are there															
	It would help to have a table of abbreviations and their meanings, especially for those outside of Professional Education.	х			The GC will address this.														



3	It would help if the Program Outcomes could be clarified to help identify external standards versus Institutional Standards.	х			Otto We will add the cross walk from CAEP documentation.
4	The volume of data presented was hard to navigate. The data were not listed in numerical order, it was unclear what 1a versus 1b, etc. meant, and the numbers did not seem to clearly match the Program Outcomes stated in the table at the start of the program review file.	х	х	We will review this to determine if this is needed.	
5					



Please list any recommendations that your Department/School decides to make in addition to those made by the Formative Feedback Team.

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1	The comments from the Department faculty for the Ed.D. will apply here as well.	GC	Spring
2	We may need to add a description about the Ed.S. program. We have not had a single person start the program as an Ed.S. candidate. We may need additional description about this.	GC	Spring
3			



Teaching and Educational Leadership (M. Ed.) Program Improvement Planning						
Department Chair	Program Team Members					
Patrick Otto	JimMyers Gweth Holzmann					
Patrick Otto	Mary Lou Miller					
	Hallett Hullinger					

### Please list the recommendations made by the Formative Feedback Team and provide comments.

#	Formative Feedback Recommendations	Will We Do This?														1														1						1		1												1		If No, please provide your rationale	Person Responsible &
		Yes	No	your rationale	Timeline																																																
1	Clean up p12 artifact alignment to include all artifacts or emininate the data from the artifact outcomes.		х	We believe that this information is there.																																																	
2	Work on readability — Think about the person reading it.  Make it simpler with simpler language in charts/rubrics.  More is not always better!		х	We are not sure what they are referring to.																																																	
3	Create a timeline for future changes.	Х			Otto Spring GC meetings																																																



4	Make a chart for changes that have been made and how those changes went.	х	We will add this from the CAEP report.
5	Include Whole Person alignment – have raw materials to align but no direct alignment exists yet.	X	We did not align to the old WPA outcomes, We have nearly completed this for the new University outcomes.



Please list any recommendations that your Department/School decides to make in addition to those made by the Formative Feedback Team.

#	Department/School Recommendations	Person Responsible	Timeline
1	The comments from the Department faculty for the Ed.D. will apply here as well.	GC	Spring
2			
3			