

Agenda for ORU Faculty Senate, Monday, October 25, 2010, 11:00—GC 5112

1. Call to Order and Opening Prayer
2. Follow-Up Discussion of HPE Variable Credit
3. Follow-Up Discussion of Formal Proposal for Bylaw Revisions
 - a. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for the appointment of alternates for the deans when a dean is unable to attend the Senate. (Article IV, Section 3)
 - b. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for the Clarification of Senate voting procedures on items that are considered “informal” or “procedural.” (Article V, Paragraph A; Article VI, Sections 4, 5, 6; Article VII, Sections 4, 5, 6)
 - c. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for Graduate Department representation and voting processes. (Article IV, Section 6)
 - d. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for representation by the Dean of Learning Resources. (Article IV, Section 1)
 - e. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for representation by the Office of the Provost. (Article IV, Section 5)
 - f. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for representation by the Coordinator of General Education. (Article IV, Section 5)
 - g. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for participation by the Office of the University Technical Editor. (Article IV, Section 5)
 - h. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for changing “Representatives” to “Senators.” (Article IV, Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 6; Article VI, Section 1; Article VII, Section 6)
 - i. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for changing “Faculty Vice President” and “Faculty President” to “Faculty Senate Vice President” and “Faculty Senate President.” (Article IV, Section 2, Article VI, Sections 1, 2, 3, 5)
 - j. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide for the inclusion of clarifying language for electing the Faculty Senate Vice President. (Article IV, Section 2)
 - k. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide that all votes of the Senate require a quorum. (Article VII, Section 4)
 - l. The bylaws be revised as proposed to provide language clarifying who can bring a formal proposal before the Senate and that general education proposals must be submitted simultaneously to the General Education Curriculum Committee for evaluation and recommendation. (Article V, Paragraph A)
4. Follow-Up Discussion of Curriculum/Catalog Change Proposal from SOSE Concerning Humanities – Report by General Education Curriculum Committee
5. Discussion of Deans’ Status
 - A. Issues to be addressed include:
 - a. Status – Faculty or Administrator or Both?

- b. Teaching Load – No presence in the classroom, some presence in the classroom, teach one class, teach multiple classes?
 - c. Contracts
 - d. Compensation Grid
 - e. Tenure
 - f. Promotion
 - g. Sabbatical
 - h. Hiring
 - i. Evaluating
 - j. Terminating
- B. Presentation of Deans' Proposal
- 6. Discussion of “administrative staff” granted faculty status according to the ORU Bylaws. The language reads “The faculty shall consist of ...administrative staff who have received full faculty status by the process described in Article IX, Section 2, of these Bylaws.” Does (should) this include administrative staff other than deans, such as the Provost, VPAA, others?
- 7. Adjourn